

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/582,130	09/01/2000	Imam Emami	454313-3156	8158	
20999 75	590 11/28/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			MAYES, DIONNE WALLS		
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1731		

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/582,130	EMAMI, IMAM
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 A</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under <u>E</u> 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 22-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition of the period of the composition of the period of the composition of the compositio	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) objected to by the	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	rammer. Note the attached Office	, Action of Tolliff 10-102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/582,130

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 24, 2005 has been entered.

Objections to the Claims

2. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: This claim has not been addressed in the current claim set. It is believed that Applicant intended to include this claim among those that are cancelled. Therefore, claim 22 will not be further considered on the merits. Appropriate correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over FR 2564296 in view of Greaves et al (US. Pat. No. 6,855,349).

FR 2564296 discloses a cigarette filter, and a process for preparing same, wherein the cigarette filter is impregnated with essential oil of rosemary (see English abstract). While the FR 2564296 reference may not specifically disclose that this oil is an "extract" of rosemary, Greaves et al teaches that an oil, rich in polyphenols, can be isolated from the rosemary plant by "extraction" with an organic, alcohol-based solvent (see entire document, specifically col. 2, lines 55-60), which would, thus, render the

Application/Control Number: 09/582,130

Art Unit: 1731

claimed "extract of a plant" having the claimed polyphenolic content. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have isolated oil from the rosemary plant by the Greaves extraction process in order to receive the anti-oxidant-filled substance for inclusion into the filter of FR 2564296, which would obviously further assist in the reduction of harmful components of cigarette smoke, consistent with the teaching of FR 2564296.

Regarding claims 30-31, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the claimed amount of polyphenol to the filter, after routine experimentation, in order to arrive at the optimal amount to produce the desired effects of the oils.

4. Claims 23-24, 26-28, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR 2564296 in view of Daher et al (US. Pat. No. 4,738,857).

FR 2564296 discloses a cigarette filter, and a process for preparing same, wherein the cigarette filter is impregnated with essential oil of rosemary (see English abstract). While the FR 2564296 reference may not specifically disclose that this oil is an "extract" of rosemary, according to at least one entry on www.dictionary.com, an "extract" can merely be that which is withdrawn by physical or chemical process.

Therefore, the essential oil of FR 2564296 qualifies, in the Examiner's opinion, as an "extract of a plant", as claimed. Further, since Daher et al discloses that, typically, essential oils are isolated from plants by physical processes, particularly steam distillation (col.4, lines 6-10), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have produced the essential oils of FR 2564296 in

Application/Control Number: 09/582,130

Art Unit: 1731

this manner, since the processing of plants to provide these oils in the this manner is conventional. Lastly, while the essential oil extract-laden cigarette filter of FR 2564296 modified by Daher et al may not specifically disclose that its oil extract comprises polyphenol compounds, this is inherently deemed to be the case since conventionally produced rosemary oil has, as a dominant constituent, rosminaric acid. (see Nicola (US. Pat. No. 6,455,087) – col. 5, lines 49-51).

Regarding claims 30-31, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the claimed amount of polyphenol to the filter, after routine experimentation, in order to arrive at the optimal amount to produce the desired effects of the oils.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 24, 2005 have been fully considered but they are, in part, rendered moot based on the new grounds for rejection. Those arguments that still remain, the Examiner believes, have been fully addressed in the above paragraph.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1731

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

November 21, 2005